

Alexandria Gazette

VOLUME LXVIII.

ALEXANDRIA, VA. MONDAY EVENING, FEBRUARY 4, 1867.

NUMBER 30.

ALEXANDRIA GAZETTE
AND VIRGINIA ADVERTISER.
PUBLISHED DAILY BY
EDGAR SNOWDEN.
(OFFICE—GAZETTE BUILDING, NO. 70, PRINCE ST.)
TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION—IN ADVANCE.
One Year.....\$3 00
Six Months.....2 00
Three Months.....1 00
One Month.....25
All communications, of a personal character, will be charged for, and not published, unless accompanied by the name of the author, and rejected communications cannot be returned.

ALEXANDRIA GAZETTE
AND VIRGINIA ADVERTISER.
(FOR THE COUNTRY)
IS PUBLISHED, REGULARLY, ON
MONDAYS, WEDNESDAYS AND FRIDAYS.
AT \$6 PER ANNUM—(PAYABLE IN ADVANCE).
TERMS OF ADVERTISING.
One square, of eight lines, one dollar, for the first insertion and twenty-five cents for each subsequent one. Liberal terms made with yearly advertisers.
Marriage Notices and Obituaries, exceeding five lines, will be charged for.
All communications, of a personal character, will be charged for, and not published, unless accompanied by the name of the author, and rejected communications cannot be returned.

The following from the Petersburg Index is a rebuke to the political preachers, from a quarter where they probably least expected it:
VIRGINIA ANNUAL CONFERENCE.—Colored. Bishop Clinton presiding.
The conference was opened with scriptural reading and prayer by Rev. J. A. Jones, of the Baltimore Annual Conference.
Roll was called and minutes read, corrected and approved.
The following preamble and resolutions were adopted:

"Whereas, the great object of preaching the Gospel is to save souls; and whereas the Gospel, and not politics, was the theme delivered to a Christian ministry; and whereas, we do not propose to decide how far our ministers shall extend their labors for the political and civil elevation of our people, yet we believe that an undue mingling of politics and religion is detrimental to the cause of Christianity; therefore be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of this Conference that it is the duty of the ministers to avoid the practice of political preaching as not being in keeping with the spirit of the Gospel, and as degrading to the pulpit which was never designed for a political theatre. A place for everything, and everything in its place. And whereas, Moses was not permitted to enter the promised land because he called his brethren rebels, and as the Saviour said that whosoever shall say "Raca" shall be in danger of the council, and strongly urged the duty of letting our communications be "yes, yea, or nay, nay;" and whereas we are ministers of the Gospel of peace, therefore

Resolved, That we will avoid, both in our public and private discourses, any language that has a tendency to excite passion—becoming as much as possible all things to all men that we may be wholesome examples to those that we are sent to serve, ever remembering that hard names at first, and threatening words, "Which are but noisy breath,
May grow to clubs, and naked swords,
To murder and to death."

SOUTHERN MANUFACTURES AND SOUTHERN TRADE.—The Norfolk Day Book, contains the following article, which is very suggestive. It may set people to thinking and some to acting:

"We have recently observed on the Annapolis and the Bay Line wharves, quite a number of bales of cotton cloth, which were en route for Baltimore and Philadelphia. We are informed that the Petersburg factories are highly esteemed and the probability is, that our North Carolina and Virginia merchants go on to the above named cities, purchase the Petersburg fabrics and bring them back to their homes on the very steamers that carry them away in the first instance. In this fact is found the long sought for solution of the vexed problem of trade. Here, then, is at once the reason, why our merchants find it such a struggle to get along, and our manufacturers do not succeed. All the profit that should be placed on the article after it comes out of the mill is consumed by a useless hauling of it to Philadelphia and back.

When this cloth returns to us from Philadelphia it has acquired an increased price, but no corresponding increase of value; thus the consumer is made to pay an additional cent or two per yard, without deriving the slightest advantage. This is not the first time we have spoken on this subject. We have noticed it in almost all of the manufactures of Virginia and North Carolina. By some hocus pocus that is a mystery to us, the Northern dealer can buy from the mills at our doors and ship North, and there sell cheaper than the home dealer can purchase at the mill. This should not be; the manufacturer should place his home dealers on the best footing, and if there is to be any discrimination, it should be against the outside party."

MR. GEORGE PEABODY AND THE POOR.—A correspondent of the New York Times writes to that paper that few persons know the real amount of good Mr. George Peabody is now doing. His benefactions, it is stated, have averaged, for several years past, one thousand dollars a day, and this correspondent states that Mr. Peabody's whole time is now occupied in devising the best way for the benevolent to "do the most good to the largest number of persons." It appears that for several days he has been visiting, talking with, and exhorting several of the largest capitalists of New York to move in this great work of reform. He says the amount of suffering in large cities can be partly ameliorated by systematic benevolence, and that thousands of lives may be saved annually by a much smaller sum of money than people generally suppose. Mr. Peabody's plan, however, has not yet been announced.

MR. MORRILL, in his speech in Congress the other day, presented the question of American visits to the Paris Exposition, in a statistical form that will open the eyes of the practical. It will be a very moderate estimate, he said, to calculate that seventy-five thousand persons will this year cross the Atlantic from the United States, and the cost will not be less than \$1,500 each, in gold, or a total of \$112,500,000. Some will estimate the number much higher, and the expenditure greater. It will hardly be less. This will swallow up the entire production of all our gold and silver mines for the year to come.

TRAGEDY.—In Kentucky, recently, a young Cuban, being crossed by the father in his passion for a young lady, declared that she should not be taken from him, and stabbed her, afterwards killing himself, in spite of her attempts, wounded as she was to hinder him. He cut his throat, and died immediately. His victim lingered forty hours in agony, and then expired.

Cincinnati will be Cincinnati. An exchange says that some admirers of Ristori in that city recently got so frantic in their appreciation of her fine acting, that they presented her with six large hogs, as an evidence of their affectionate regard.

COURT NOTICES.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ALEXANDRIA, County, November 15, 1866, Robert I. Edelin, M. Garman, Thomas McCormick, administrator of Elias Harrison, deceased, James E. McGraw, Willis Henderson, Julia Wheatley, and Mary Wheatley, on behalf of themselves, and such other stockholders of the Mechanical Building Association of Alexandria as may join in and contribute to the expenses of this suit, complainants, vs. Mechanical Building Association of Alexandria, William Arnold, David Appich, Ewell C. Atwell, N. W. Burchell, Maria Buchanan, administrator of R. E. Buchanan, deceased, J. H. Deughan, A. W. Eastlack, J. Newton Harper, Luther A. Harrison, H. W. Hardy, John Jones, Margaret Kinzer, with the will annexed of I. Louis Kinzer, Hugh Latham, J. W. Nalls, C. L. Richards, E. W. Robinson, John Summers, Stephen Swain, John L. Pascoe, Samuel Beach, D. L. Smoot, administrator of Peter Davis, deceased, James E. McGraw, George H. Markoll, and Robert I. Edelin, Trustees of the Mechanical Building Association of Alexandria, defendants.
The decree of the November term, 1866, directed that the papers in the above cause be referred to W. C. Yeaton, Master in Chancery of said Court, to enquire into and state to all and complete account of the transactions of said Building Association; the condition of the accounts between the Association and its members; the amount due on the several deeds of trust executed for the benefit of the said Association; the amounts due from the said Association to its members, and the amounts due from its members to the said Association, with instructions to the said Master to publish for four successive weeks, the objects of the reference in some newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, in lieu of personal service upon the parties interested.

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, Jan. 2, 1867.
Notice is hereby given to all parties interested in the decree of the foregoing is an abstract, that I have fixed upon WEDNESDAY, the 20th day of February, 1867, at my office in the city of Alexandria, when and where I shall proceed to execute the said decree.
W. C. YEATON,
Master in Chancery of the Circuit Court of Alexandria Co.
Jan 2—law4w

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX County, November term, 1866, William E. Moore vs. S. G. Miller:—In chancery.
The Court doth adjudge, order and decree, that M. D. Ball, one of the Master Commissioners of this Court, do take an account of the several deeds of trust, together with all debts due from said defendant to whom, and that said Commissioner do ascertain the annual and semi-annual value of said lands, and for this purpose he do convene, by advertisement, all the creditors of said S. G. Miller, in some newspaper printed in the city of Alexandria, which advertisement shall be regarded as equivalent to personal service, and that he do report his proceedings herein to the next term of this Court, or that any party interested may require.

Extract—teste. W. B. GOODING, Clerk.
Parties interested in the above decree are hereby notified that I will proceed to execute its provisions, at my office, at Fairfax C. H., on WEDNESDAY, the 20th day of February next.
M. DULANY BALL,
Comm'r. in Chancery.
Jan 16—law4w

VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, James M. Wallace, complainant, against Solomon Stover, Charles M. Price and William F. Kephart, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant, Solomon Stover, the sum of \$2,000, with interest from the 3rd of June, 1861, and to subject his interest in certain real estate in the city of Alexandria, Va., situate on the north-west intersection of Deane and Payne streets, and known as the Jail property, to the payment of the same.
The defendants, Solomon Stover and Wm. F. Kephart, not having entered their appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that they appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

John M. Orr, P. Q. Jan 15—law4w
VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, Henry Studds and George Auld, Jr., complainants in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Auld, complainants, against David P. Bodfish, Alexander Mills, Martin S. Sheldon, and A. Grant, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodfish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendants, Bodfish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000, and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due the said Bodfish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.
The defendants, Bodfish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance and giving security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. Jan 15—law4w
VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, Henry Studds and Daniel Pulman, complainants in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Pulman, complainants, against David P. Bodfish, Alexander Mills, Martin S. Sheldon, and A. Grant, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodfish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant, Bodfish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000, and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due the said Bodfish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.
The defendants, Bodfish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance and giving security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. Jan 15—law4w
VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, Henry Studds and Daniel Pulman, complainants in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Pulman, complainants, against David P. Bodfish, Alexander Mills, Martin S. Sheldon, and A. Grant, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodfish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant, Bodfish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000, and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due the said Bodfish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.
The defendants, Bodfish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance and giving security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. Jan 15—law4w
VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, Henry Studds and Daniel Pulman, complainants in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Pulman, complainants, against David P. Bodfish, Alexander Mills, Martin S. Sheldon, and A. Grant, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodfish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant, Bodfish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000, and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due the said Bodfish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.
The defendants, Bodfish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance and giving security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. Jan 15—law4w
VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, Henry Studds and Daniel Pulman, complainants in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Pulman, complainants, against David P. Bodfish, Alexander Mills, Martin S. Sheldon, and A. Grant, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodfish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant, Bodfish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000, and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due the said Bodfish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.
The defendants, Bodfish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance and giving security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. Jan 15—law4w
VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, Henry Studds and Daniel Pulman, complainants in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Pulman, complainants, against David P. Bodfish, Alexander Mills, Martin S. Sheldon, and A. Grant, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodfish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant, Bodfish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000, and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due the said Bodfish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.
The defendants, Bodfish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance and giving security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. Jan 15—law4w
VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, Henry Studds and Daniel Pulman, complainants in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Pulman, complainants, against David P. Bodfish, Alexander Mills, Martin S. Sheldon, and A. Grant, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodfish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant, Bodfish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000, and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due the said Bodfish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.
The defendants, Bodfish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance and giving security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. Jan 15—law4w
VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, Henry Studds and Daniel Pulman, complainants in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Pulman, complainants, against David P. Bodfish, Alexander Mills, Martin S. Sheldon, and A. Grant, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodfish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant, Bodfish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000, and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due the said Bodfish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.
The defendants, Bodfish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance and giving security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. Jan 15—law4w
VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, Henry Studds and Daniel Pulman, complainants in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Pulman, complainants, against David P. Bodfish, Alexander Mills, Martin S. Sheldon, and A. Grant, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodfish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant, Bodfish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000, and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due the said Bodfish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.
The defendants, Bodfish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance and giving security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. Jan 15—law4w
VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, Henry Studds and Daniel Pulman, complainants in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Pulman, complainants, against David P. Bodfish, Alexander Mills, Martin S. Sheldon, and A. Grant, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodfish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant, Bodfish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000, and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due the said Bodfish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.
The defendants, Bodfish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance and giving security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. Jan 15—law4w
VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, Henry Studds and Daniel Pulman, complainants in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Pulman, complainants, against David P. Bodfish, Alexander Mills, Martin S. Sheldon, and A. Grant, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodfish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant, Bodfish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000, and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due the said Bodfish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.
The defendants, Bodfish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance and giving security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. Jan 15—law4w
VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, Henry Studds and Daniel Pulman, complainants in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Pulman, complainants, against David P. Bodfish, Alexander Mills, Martin S. Sheldon, and A. Grant, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodfish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant, Bodfish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000, and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due the said Bodfish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.
The defendants, Bodfish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance and giving security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. Jan 15—law4w
VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, Henry Studds and Daniel Pulman, complainants in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Pulman, complainants, against David P. Bodfish, Alexander Mills, Martin S. Sheldon, and A. Grant, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodfish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant, Bodfish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000, and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due the said Bodfish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.
The defendants, Bodfish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance and giving security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. Jan 15—law4w
VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, Henry Studds and Daniel Pulman, complainants in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Pulman, complainants, against David P. Bodfish, Alexander Mills, Martin S. Sheldon, and A. Grant, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodfish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant, Bodfish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000, and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due the said Bodfish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.
The defendants, Bodfish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance and giving security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. Jan 15—law4w
VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, Henry Studds and Daniel Pulman, complainants in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Pulman, complainants, against David P. Bodfish, Alexander Mills, Martin S. Sheldon, and A. Grant, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodfish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant, Bodfish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000, and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due the said Bodfish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.
The defendants, Bodfish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance and giving security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

COURT NOTICES.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ALEXANDRIA, County, November 15, 1866, Alvin V. Maxwell, complainant, vs. George W. Maxwell, Walter T. Gillingham and Ella C. Gillingham, his wife; Benjamin Chase and Mary A. Chase, his wife; Franklin Maxwell, Carroll Maxwell, Richard L. Maxwell, Willard Maxwell, Agnes Maxwell, Alice Maxwell, Catharine Maxwell, and Albert Stuart, defendants.
The decree in the above case directs that one of the Masters in Chancery of the Court, do ascertain and report—
1st. The indebtedness of the estate of George W. Maxwell at the time of his death.
2d. The value of his personal assets, which have or are yet to come into the hands of his executrix.
3d. The real estate of which he died seized, and its value.
The defendants not having entered their appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

A copy—teste. J. TACEY, Clerk.
Brent & Wattle, P. Q. Jan 5—law4w
VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria County, on the 31st day of December, 1866, Samuel P. Gregory and Joseph Paul, joint partners, trading under the firm and style of Gregory & Paul, plaintiffs, against George E. Hunt, defendant.—In assumpsit and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant the sum of \$152.44, with interest from the 27th day of October, 1866, till paid, due to the said plaintiff, by the said defendant.
The defendant not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

W. C. YEATON, Master in Chancery, Circuit Ct. Alex. Co.
COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, Jan. 4, 1867.
The parties interested in the decree, of which the foregoing is an abstract, are notified that I have fixed upon MONDAY, the 4th day of March, 1867, at my office in the city of Alexandria, when and where I shall proceed to execute the said decree.
W. C. YEATON,
Master in Chancery, Circuit Ct. Alex. Co.
Jan 5—law4w

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ALEXANDRIA, County, November 15, 1866, "The Potomac Building Fund Association of Alexandria," complainant, vs. Gilbert S. Miner, J. C. Taylor, George W. Brent, J. Lewis Evans, A. W. Deughan, J. H. Deughan, J. H. Pascoe, C. Noland, John Arnold, George W. Davis, John T. Hill, William B. Nalls, John Summers, C. B. Shirley, Margaret Kafferty and John Lally, defendants.
The decrees in the above cause be referred to W. C. Yeaton, Master in Chancery of said Court, to enquire into and state a full and complete account of the transactions of said Association and its members; the amounts due from the several deeds of trust executed for the benefit of the Association; the amounts due from the said Association to its members, and the amounts due from its members to the said Association, with instructions to the said Master to publish for four successive weeks the objects of the reference in some newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, in lieu of personal service upon the parties interested, &c.

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, Jan. 2, 1867.
Notice is hereby given to all parties interested in the decree of which the foregoing is an abstract, that I have fixed upon FRIDAY, the 22d of February, 1867, at my office, in the city of Alexandria, when and where I shall proceed to execute the said decree.
W. C. YEATON,
Master in Chancery of the Circuit Ct. Alex. Co.
Jan 2—law4w

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ALEXANDRIA, County, November 15th, 1866, George Washington, complainant, vs. Catherine Burley, Administrator of James Burley, deceased, and Albert and Maria Burley, infants, defendants.
It is ordered and decreed that W. C. Yeaton, Master in Chancery, of said Court, do state and settle the account of the defendant, C. Burley, Administrator of James Burley, deceased, and that he do ascertain the fee simple and appurtenant value of the real estate of which said James Burley, died, seized; the judgment against the decedent and their priorities, and the creditors of the estate, with instructions to said Master to publish for four successive weeks, in some newspaper in Alexandria, the objects of this reference, which publication shall be equivalent to personal service of notice upon the parties interested.

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, Jan. 2, 1867.
The parties interested in the decree of which the foregoing is an abstract, are notified that I have fixed upon WEDNESDAY, the 27th day of February, 1867, at my office, in the city of Alexandria, when and where I shall proceed to execute the said decree.
W. C. YEATON,
Master in Chancery, Circuit Ct. Alex. Co.
Jan 3—law4w

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ALEXANDRIA, County, November 23d, 1866, E. C. Fitzgibbon, administrator of M. C. Fitzgibbon, deceased, complainant, vs. M. A. Febray and William Shreve, defendants.
And the Court doth further order and decree that one of the Commissioners of this Court, do ascertain the amount of the debts and if so their amount and respective priorities on the real estate in the bill mentioned, and before taking such account he shall give notice of the time and place of taking the same, by publication, in some convenient newspaper, for four weeks, which publication shall be equivalent to personal notice.

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, Jan. 2, 1867.
Notice is hereby given to all parties interested in the decree of which the foregoing is an abstract, that I have fixed upon MONDAY, the 25th day of February, 1867, at my office, in the city of Alexandria, when and where I shall proceed to execute the said decree.
W. C. YEATON,
Master in Chancery, Circuit Ct. Alex. Co.
Jan 3—law4w

VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria County, on the 31st day of December, 1866, John Laphan, plaintiff, against Adeline K. Evans, defendant.—In assumpsit and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant the sum of \$30.50, with interest from the 15th of July, 1867, till paid, due to the plaintiff by the defendant.
The defendant not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that she is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect her interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, Jan. 2, 1867.
Notice is hereby given to all parties interested in the decree of which the foregoing is an abstract, that I have fixed upon MONDAY, the 25th day of February, 1867, at my office, in the city of Alexandria, when and where I shall proceed to execute the said decree.
W. C. YEATON,
Master in Chancery, Circuit Ct. Alex. Co.
Jan 3—law4w

VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria County, on the 31st day of December, 1866, Jas. W. Atkins, plaintiff, vs. Samuel H. Debaugh, defendant.—In chancery and upon an attachment.
The object of this suit is to subject certain real estate belonging to the defendant, situated in the city and county of Alexandria, Va., on the south side of Prince street, between Washington street and the payment of the plaintiff's claim against the said defendant, the sum of \$170, with interest thereon from the 1st day of July, 1861, till paid.
The defendant not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—teste.

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, Jan. 2, 1867.
Notice is hereby given to all parties interested in the decree of which the foregoing is an abstract, that I have fixed upon MONDAY, the 25th day of February, 1867, at my office, in the city of Alexandria, when and where I shall proceed to execute the said decree.
W. C. YEATON,
Master in Chancery, Circuit Ct